IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION

CRIMINAL NO. 3:04CR4

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
VS.)	ORDER
TERRY HALL)	

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the Defendant's motion for modification of his sentence. The motion is denied.

Defendant argues the Court erred in applying a two-point enhancement to his sentence pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(2)(A) for the number of victims harmed by his criminal activities. However, such enhancement was agreed to by the Defendant and his attorney when they entered into the plea agreement with the Government. The plea agreement set forth a base offense level of 7, added 8 points for the amount of the loss pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(1)(E), another 2 points for the number of victims pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(2)(A), and another 4 points for being a leader or manager pursuant to U.S.S.G. §

3B1.1(a), for a total adjusted offense level of 21. **See Plea Agreement**, **filed April 19, 2004**. Defendant's argument that the Court erred in imposing a sentence in compliance with an agreement signed by both Defendant and his attorney is clearly disingenuous.

Assuming *arguendo* that the Defendant's motion had merit, the Court is without jurisdiction to modify or reduce the Defendant's sentence for the reasons set forth in his motion.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Defendant's motion for modification of sentence is hereby **DENIED**.

Signed: January 23, 2008

Lacy H. Thornburg United States District Judge